



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET N		TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
0976 59, 683	09/11/00	PATEL		R	07164.0004-0
_	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			EXAMINER	
HM22/1102 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT AND D				WRIGHT.S	
1300 I STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WHENTING LON .	PC ZUUUDTAA	15		1626 DATE MAILED:	7
					11/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/659,683	PATEL, RAJNIKANT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sonya Wright	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	-	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a included in the provision of the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
•	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 13 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •					
	LAMITIMET.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	oign priority under 35 H S C	8 119(a)_(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eigh phonty under 00 0.0.0.	3 110(d) (d) 51 (l).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to Applicant's remarks filed September 17, 2001.

The rejection of claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) has been maintained for the reasons set forth in paper number 4 on March 12, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Robertson et al., US Patent 5,399, 574. Applicant claims (S)-4-{[3-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl-1H-indole-5-yl]methyl}-2-oxazolidinone. On page 1 of the specification Applicant discloses that this compound is also known as (S)-N,N-dimethyl-2-[5-(2-oxo-1,3-oxazolidin-4-yl-methyl)-1H-indol-3-yl]ethylamine, and that it is useful for the treatment of migraine. Robertson et al. teach the instant compound in species examples in column 28, lines 21-22 and lines 44-45, and column 29, lines 6-7.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that Robertson et al. do not disclose all the elements of the presently

Art Unit: 1626

claimed invention. Applicant contends that instant claim 13 is directed to a pure, non-solvated (S)-N,N-dimethyl-2-[5-(2-oxo-1,3-oxazolidine-4-yl-methyl)-1H-indole-3-yl]ethylamine. This is not persuasive because Robertson et al. teach purification of a solid in column 28, lines 29-32 wherein a solid was suspended in HCl aq., refluxed, diluted with water and filtered. Also, the examiner assumes that the compounds of Robertson et al. are non-solvated and pure absent a showing to the contrary. Applicant further contends that the instant invention is produced by a novel one-pot synthesis. Applicant directs the Examiner to an example of the one-pot synthesis on page 4, lines 7-9 of the specification. Applicant asserts that in Robertson et al., several intermediates require extraction and isolation techniques via column chromatography, which is costly and time-consuming. Applicant concluded that the instantly claimed invention avoids the use of dangerous reagents, phosgene and tin(II) chloride, which are used in the process of Robertson et al.

This is not persuasive because claim 13 is drawn to a compound, not a process of preparing a compound. Further, Applicant's arguments are directed to product-by-process. However, patentability in product-by-process is based on the product itself. The invention is unpatentable if it is the same as or obvious from the product of a prior art reference, even if the prior art process is different. In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964 (1985).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1626

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Wright, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7921. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail

Art Unit: 1626

communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Taofid Solola, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Group 1600

Sonya Wright

October 30, 2001